

PEAK FLOW FORECASTS

FLOW EXTREMES, NOT SUPPLY

Peak flow forecasts are fundamentally different than water supply volume forecasts. Although the watershed snowpack is a principal component in both analyses, peak flows are not a supply question at all. Rather, peak flows characterize runoff extremes by predicting maximum mean daily flow at a single point during the spring snowmelt season. This extreme is related to the water supply volume, but the relationship is not direct or constant from year to year. As such, peak flow forecasts contain much more uncertainty than water supply volume forecasts.

REGULATED VS. NATURAL FLOWS

An even more fundamental limitation is that peak forecasts describe regulated (actual or observed) in-stream flow well into the future, something difficult to do considering the quantity and changing nature of diversions in the Colorado River and Great Basin watersheds. (Note: supply forecasts deal with hypothetical "natural" flow - that which would have resulted in the absence of regulation). The Colorado Basin River Forecast Center routinely forecasts regulated streamflow, but only for several days into the future. Further into the future the ability to forecast reservoir regulation becomes more limited.

DIFFERENT USES AND USERS

Peak flow forecasts are used for different purposes than water supply volume forecasts. Users of these forecasts would include river recreationists, flood control agencies, emergency service directors, wildlife managers and anyone interested in the combined effect of watershed yield and human regulation on the actual (observed) in-stream maximum mean daily flows at a site.

FLOOD FLOWS

The National Weather Service defines flood flow as the flow at which damage to structures begins to occur. Over-bank flow may occur but still be below the defined flood flow. Flood flows contained in this document change from year to year due to such channel processes as deposition and scouring. Therefore, the flood flows that follow should only be applied to the current runoff season. It should also be noted that they are instantaneous flows and not maximum mean daily flows.

IMPORTANT NOTE:

Please note that the following peak flow forecasts will be updated during the first week in May. The updated forecasts can be accessed through the CBRFC homepage (<http://www.cbrfc.gov>) or by calling the appropriate Service Hydrologist (see page 8 and 9).

INTERPRETIVE NOTES

PEAK FLOW DEFINED

The peak flow forecast represents the maximum mean daily flow (the highest average flow for an entire day during the runoff season) at a point during the April through July period, unless otherwise noted. It does not represent the instantaneous peak (the maximum flow at a single moment). In the case of smooth snowmelt regimes (hydrographs), it may be acceptable to approximate one with the other. In Arizona, the normal snowmelt period is from February to May. Occasionally, heavy rainfall events can produce higher peak flows than the snowmelt peak flows. For verification and calibration purposes, the maximum mean daily flow during the February through May period was used regardless of the runoff source. The Average Peak and Normal Time of Peak (defined as the average date of peak plus/minus one standard deviation which should include approximately 70% of the peaks) for a given gage are all derived from 1971 through 2000 data whereas the Historic Peak is derived from the period of record, including the most recent years, after reservoir regulation began.

FORECAST PROBABILITIES

Peak flow forecasts are presented in terms of probabilities or, more specifically, exceedance probabilities. The forecast labeled “most probable” is actually the 50% exceedance level meaning there are equal chances of being below the value or above the value (i.e., 50 chances out of 100 of being exceeded). The other exceedance probabilities associate the likelihood of exceeding other levels. In general, a close bunching of the exceedance forecasts indicates low variability and that the user can have a high degree of confidence in the forecast information. Conversely, a large spread in the exceedance forecasts indicates high variability.

MODELLING TECHNIQUES

The peak flow forecasts that follow have been derived using a combination of (1) physically-based conceptual models and (2) statistical regression models. The conceptual model is the National Weather Service River Forecasting System in the Ensemble Streamflow Prediction (ESP) mode. Since the conceptual model requires reservoir operation plans for up to five months into the future, ESP application is limited to basins where regulation is minimal (mostly in the headwater areas). The farther downstream a forecast point is, the more likely it is that a statistical regression was used between natural snowmelt runoff volume and the observed maximum mean daily flow to generate the forecast. Such an approach performs better when the correlation between regulated and unregulated flow is strong and is constant from year to year.

UPPER COLORADO PEAK FLOW FORECASTS

Mean daily flows in cubic feet per second (cfs)

STATION NAME	Historic	Average	Flood*	2003	2003	2004 Forecast Exceedance Probability					Normal time of peak
	Peak	Peak	Flow	Peak	Date	90%	75%	50%	25%	10%	
COLORADO - KREMMLING, NR	12,700	3,900	10,100	2,470	6/01	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5/15 - 6/27
EAGLE - GYPSUM, BLO	6,580	3,600	6,400	5,140	6/01	1,300	1,700	2,200	2,600	3,500	6/1 - 6/21
ROARING FORK - GLENWOOD SPRINGS	11,200	6,150	14,000	6,950	6/01	2,300	3,000	3,600	4,500	5,500	6/3 - 6/18
COLORADO - CAMEO, NR	38,000	17,500	28,300	20,300	6/02	5,500	7,500	9,500	13,000	16,000	5/29 - 6/18
PLATEAU CK - CAMEO, NR	4,100	1,460	5,800	550	5/28	300	600	900	1,300	1,700	5/9 - 6/11
EAST - ALMONT, NR	5,000	2,080	2,850	2,370	5/30	900	1,100	1,300	1,600	1,900	5/28 - 6/17
NF GUNNISON - SOMERSET, NR	7,080	3,310	8,810	3,210	5/28	1,100	1,700	2,300	3,000	3,600	5/11 - 6/2
SURFACE CK - CEDAREEDGE	640	210	2,050	110	5/18	70	100	140	200	280	5/3 - 6/8
UNCOMPAHGRE - COLONA, NR	1,900	1,390	3,100	1,540	5/30	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5/20 - 6/27
COLORADO - CO-UT STATELINE, NR	68,300	26,150	48,000	24,500	6/02	7,000	10,000	13,000	16,000	21,000	5/22 - 6/16
DOLORES - DOLORES	6,950	2,980	6,400	1,880	5/28	1,400	1,700	2,100	2,500	3,000	5/9 - 6/4
SAN MIGUEL - PLACERVILLE, NR	2,740	1,310	3,130	1,360	5/28	600	750	950	1,200	1,500	5/26 - 6/23
DOLORES - CISCO, NR (see note1 below)	12,900	6,050	N/A	1,210	5/30	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	4/26 - 6/5
COLORADO - CISCO, NR	69,500	28,800	60,500	26,400	6/03	8,000	11,000	14,000	17,000	23,000	5/20 - 6/15
GREEN - DANIEL, NR, WARREN BRIDGE, AT	5,620	2,975	N/A	3,000	6/02	1,200	1,700	2,200	2,700	3,100	5/30 - 6/30
NEW FORK - BIG PINEY, NR	9,110	5,285	N/A	2,200	5/29	1,600	2,000	2,400	3,000	3,500	5/31 - 6/24
GREEN - LABARGE, NR	18,800	9,270	11,500	6,100	6/03	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5/30 - 6/24
BIG SANDY - FARSON, NR	1,690	820	1,400	600	5/26	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5/28 - 6/23
GREEN - GREEN RVR WY, NR	15,400	7,110	15,800	1,430	6/24	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5/23 - 7/11
HAMS FORK - FRONTIER, NR, POLE CK, BLO	2,000	825	1,900	380	5/31	200	300	400	550	750	5/10 - 6/9
BLACKS FORK - LITTLE AMERICA, NR	6,970	2,440	5,300	1,500	6/25	400	700	1,000	1,700	2,500	5/2 - 6/27

N/A - NOT AVAILABLE (NOT A FLOOD FORECAST POINT OR NO FORECAST PROCEDURE EXISTS)

note 1 - for releases below McPhee Reservoir call 970-565-7562

* Flood flow is for current year only and is an instantaneous value

UPPER COLORADO PEAK FLOW FORECASTS (continued)

Mean daily flows in cubic feet per second (cfs)

STATION NAME	Historic	Average	Flood*	2003	2003	2004 Forecast Exceedance Probability					Normal time of peak
	Peak	Peak	Flow	Peak	Date	90%	75%	50%	25%	10%	
YAMPA - STEAMBOAT SPRINGS	5,870	3,240	4,490	4,800	6/02	1,500	1,800	2,200	2,700	3,100	5/19 - 6/12
YAMPA - MAYBELL, NR	24,400	10,475	25,000	13,000	6/04	5,000	6,200	7,200	8,400	9,500	5/13 - 6/10
LITTLE SNAKE - LILY, NR	13,400	4,745	25,200	4,000	6/01	1,800	2,300	3,000	3,900	4,800	5/5 - 6/12
YAMPA - DEERLODGE PARK	32,300	13,955	17,000	16,000	6/03	5,500	7,200	9,000	11,000	13,500	5/11 - 6/6
GREEN - JENSEN, NR (see note1 below)	38,500	17,600	23,700	19,000	6/04	17,700	11,800	13,600	15,600	18,100	5/14 - 6/11
ROCK CK - UPR STILLWATER RES	2,080	1,350	N/A	1,200	5/25	600	730	850	970	1,100	5/25 - 6/20
DUCHESNE - TABIONA, NR	1,630	765	4,100	350	5/28	200	300	400	550	700	5/15 - 6/15
DUCHESNE - RANDLETT, NR	7,000	2,755	7,400	580	5/30	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	4/27 - 7/5
WHITE - MEEKER, NR	6,320	3,200	4,400	3,500	6/02	1,000	1,500	2,000	2,600	3,300	5/21 - 6/14
GREEN - GREEN RIVER, UT (see note1 below)	47,200	22,560	47,000	22,000	6/05	8,000	12,000	16,000	21,000	25,000	5/18 - 6/16
SAN RAFAEL - GREEN RIVER, NR	3,600	910	N/A	120	6/04	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5/17 - 7/16
MUDDY CK - EMERY, NR	515	205	N/A	260	6/03	50	100	150	200	300	5/19 - 6/18
DIRTY DEVIL - HANKSVILLE, NR, POISON SPGS **	1,310	445	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	3/12 - 5/31
ESCALANTE - ESCALANTE, NR **	227	72	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	3/24 - 6/2
CATARACT CANYON (estimated)	116,700	51,350	N/A	47,900	6/04	16,000	23,000	30,000	38,000	47,000	5/20 - 6/16
SAN JUAN - PAGOSA SPRINGS	4,640	2,485	6,760	2,000	5/31	1,500	1,700	2,100	2,400	2,800	5/15 - 6/12
ANIMAS - DURANGO	10,700	4,675	9,820	4,080	5/29	3,000	3,200	3,900	4,400	5,000	5/28 - 6/14
SAN JUAN - BLUFF, NR (see note2 below)	15,200	7,340	40,670	3,840	5/31	6,600	7,100	7,500	8,200	8,900	5/21 - 7/4

N/A - NOT AVAILABLE (NOT A FLOOD FORECAST POINT OR NO FORECAST PROCEDURE EXISTS)

NOTE1 - Peak flow forecasts on the Green River below Flaming Gorge Reservoir are based on USBR planned regulation.

NOTE2 - Peak flow forecasts on the San Juan below Navajo Reservoir are based on USBR planned regulation.

* Flood flow is for current year only and is an instantaneous value

** Runoff period March - June

LOWER COLORADO PEAK FLOW FORECASTS

Mean daily flows in cubic feet per second (cfs)

STATION NAME	Historic Peak	Average Peak	Flood* Flow	2003 Peak	2003 Date	2004 Forecast Exceedance Probability					Normal time of peak
						90%	75%	50%	25%	10%	
VIRGIN - LITTLEFIELD, NR	17,000	1,915	14,345	485	3/17	400	500	700	900	1,400	3/15 - 5/6
VIRGIN - HURRICANE, NR	9,620	1,520	6,600	409	3/17		400	600	800	1,000	3/14 - 5/9
SANTA CLARA - PINE VALLEY, NR	212	65	N/A	21	5/16	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	4/25 - 5/25

STATION NAME	Historic Peak	Average Peak	Flood* Flow	2003 Peak	2003 Date	2004 Forecast Exceedance Probability		Normal time of peak
						Peak to Date	10%	
SALT - ROOSEVELT, NR	77,200	9,610	N/A	7,990	3/18	2350 on 3/15	3,000	3/6 - 4/9
TONTO CK - ROOSEVELT, NR, GUN CK, ABV	32,200	4,090	N/A	2,200	3/17	112 on 3/13	300	3/3 - 4/4
OAK CREEK - SEDONA, NR	8,600	1,550	14,050	1,760	3/17	663 on 3/9	1,000	3/6 - 4/9
VERDE - HORSESHOE DAM, ABV, TANGLE CK	65,100	8,530	N/A	6,730	3/18	1390 on 3/14		3/6 - 4/9
AGUA FRIA - ROCK SPRINGS, NR	23,600	2,565	N/A	1,100	2/14	58 on 3/5		2/28 - 4/3

N/A - NOT AVAILABLE (NOT A FLOOD FORECAST POINT OR NO FORECAST PROCEDURE EXISTS)

* Flood flow is for current year only and is an instantaneous value

GREAT BASIN PEAK FLOW FORECASTS

Mean daily flows in cubic feet per second (cfs)

STATION NAME	Historic Average Flood*					2004 Forecast Exceedance Probability					Normal time of peak
	Peak	Peak	Flow	Peak	Date	90%	75%	50%	25%	10%	
BEAR - UTAH-WYOMING STATELINE, NR	2,680	1,610	4,400	1,640	5/30	600	700	850	1,200	1,600	5/22 - 6/14
LOGAN - LOGAN, NR, STATE DAM, ABV	1,870	985	2,400	715	5/31	200	350	550	850	950	5/18 - 6/10
BLACKSMITH FORK - HYRUM, NR, UP&L DAM	1,530	490	800	180	5/17	20	100	200	350	450	4/24 - 5/20
WEBER - OAKLEY, NR	4,170	1,625	3,100	1,650	5/30	500	650	850	1,200	1,600	5/24 - 6/16
CHALK CK - COALVILLE	1,420	600	1,900	235	5/19	30	100	200	300	500	5/5 - 5/31
PROVO - WOODLAND, NR	2,530	1,685	3,000	1,990	5/26	700	1,000	1,400	1,800	2,300	5/11 - 6/6
LITTLE COTTONWOOD CK - SALT LAKE CITY, NR	762	470	700	455	5/30	250	350	450	550	650	5/23 - 6/20
BIG COTTONWOOD CK - SALT LAKE CITY, NR	980	430	700	470	5/30	100	150	250	400	550	5/18 - 6/9
MILL CK - SALT LAKE CITY, NR	153	65	150	20	5/30	20	40	55	80	110	5/18 - 6/10
PARLEYS CK - SALT LAKE CITY, NR	605	180	350	50	5/17	20	60	95	140	180	4/23 - 5/22
EMIGRATION CK - SALT LAKE CITY, NR	164	55	175	4	5/10	5	10	20	40	65	4/11 - 5/19
CITY CK - SALT LAKE CITY, NR	322	90	150	25	5/26	10	15	25	45	65	5/12 - 6/1
SEVIER - HATCH	1,430	495	1,200	255	5/26	50	100	250	350	550	5/6 - 6/2

N/A - NOT AVAILABLE (NOT A FLOOD FORECAST POINT OR NO FORECAST PROCEDURE EXISTS)

* Flood flow is for current year only and is an instantaneous value



FLOOD POTENTIAL INFORMATION

SERVICE HYDROLOGISTS

Colorado Basin River Forecast Center

April 2004

Page 7

The graphic on the following page depicts the areas of responsibility of the various Service Hydrologists or Hydro Focal Points. The following list links these individuals and their corresponding areas of responsibility. **A Service Hydrologist/Hydro Focal Point is the National Weather Service hydrologic coordinator and spokesperson for a given hydrologic service area and is the person to contact for current flood potential, streamflows, snowpack information and updates to peak flow forecasts.** Following their phone number is a URL to their homepage.

1) Albuquerque, NM	Ed Polasko	505-243-0702	http://www.srh.noaa.gov/abq/
2) Cheyenne, WY	Ray Gomez	307-772-2468x493	http://www.crh.noaa.gov/cys/
3) Boulder, CO	Treste Huse	303-494-3210x493	http://www.crh.noaa.gov/den/
4) El Paso, TX	Tim Brice	505-589-4088x308	http://www.srh.noaa.gov/elp/
5) Flagstaff, AZ	Tom Clemmons	928-556-9161x249	http://www.wrh.noaa.gov/Flagstaff/
6) Grand Junction, CO	Brian Avery	970-243-7007x633	http://www.crh.noaa.gov/gjt/
7) Las Vegas, NV	Barry Pierce	702-263-9750	http://www.wrh.noaa.gov/lasvegas/
8) Phoenix, AZ	Tom Zickus	602-275-8881x228	http://www.wrh.noaa.gov/Phoenix
9) Pocatello, ID	Sherrie Hebert	208-233-0834	http://www.wrh.noaa.gov/Pocatello/
10) Pueblo, CO	Larry Walrod	719-948-9429x895	http://www.crh.noaa.gov/pub/
11) Riverton, WY	Jim Fahey	307-857-3898x493	http://www.crh.noaa.gov/riw
12) Salt Lake City, UT	Brian McInerney	801-524-5142x333	http://www.wrh.noaa.gov/Saltlake/
13) Tucson, AZ	Mike Schaffner	520-670-5156x228	http://www.wrh.noaa.gov/Tucson/

**NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE HYDROLOGIC SERVICE AREAS
IN THE CBRFC AREA OF RESPONSIBILITY**

